1	DANIEL M. PETROCELLI (S.B. #97802					
2	DANIEL M. PETROCELLI (S.B. #97802 dpetrocelli@omm.com VICTOR H. JIH (S.B. #186515)	•				
3	vjih@omm.com MOLLY M. LENS (S.B. #283867)					
4	mlens@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP					
5	1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-6035					
6	Telephone: (310) 553-6700 Facsimile: (310) 246-6779					
7	Attorneys for the Warner Parties					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	FOURTH AGE LTD., et al,	Case No. 12-9912-ABC (SHx)				
11	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF MOLLY M.				
12	V.	LENS IN SUPPORT OF WARNER'S EX PARTE				
13	William Drop. Digital	APPLICATION TO HAVE EXHIBIT 1 TO THE				
14	DISTRIBUTION, et al,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF RICARDO				
15	Defendants.	CESTERO FILED UNDER SEAL				
16						
17		Judge: Hon. Audrey B. Collins Magistrate: Hon. Stephen J. Hillman				
18	WARNER BROS. DIGITAL					
19	DISTRIBUTION INC., et al,					
20	Counterclaim Plaintiffs,					
21	v.					
22	FOURTH AGE LTD., et al,					
23	Counterclaim					
24	Defendants.					
25						
26						
27						
28						
		DECL. OF MOLLY M. LENS				

CV12-9912-ABC (SHX)

I, Molly M. Lens, the undersigned, hereby declare:

- 1. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California, an attorney in the law firm of O'Melveny & Myers LLP, and counsel for defendants and counterclaim plaintiffs Warner Bros. Home Entertainment Inc., Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc., Warner Bros. Consumer Products Inc., and New Line Productions, Inc. (collectively, "Warner"). I submit this declaration in support of Warner's *Ex Parte* Application to Have Exhibit 1 to the Supplemental Declaration of Ricardo Cestero Filed under Seal. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called to testify, could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. On March 1, 2013, my colleague Victor Jih and I met and conferred with Ricardo Cestero, counsel for plaintiffs and counterclaim defendants Fourth Age Ltd., Priscilla Mary Anne Reuel Tolkien, The J.R.R. Tolkien Estate Ltd., HarperCollins Publishers, Ltd., Unwin Hyman Ltd., and George Allen & Unwin (Publishers), Ltd. (collectively, the "Tolkien/HC Parties"). During that call, the parties agreed on the need to enter into a stipulated protective order in this case, and Mr. Cestero proposed that the parties work from a protective order entered in a previous litigation between Warner and the Tolkien/HC Parties.
- 3. On March 14, 2013, when he circulated the proposed, model protective order, Mr. Cestero explained that the Tolkien/HC Parties "would be agreeable to having a similar order entered in this case." Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of Mr. Cestero's March 14 email and the attached protective order.
- 4. On March 28, 2013, Warner made its first production of documents to the Tolkien/HC Parties. To date, Warner is the only party to have begun producing documents. As I explained in Warner's production cover letter, Warner made its initial production "in reliance on the entry of a protective order in this case and, accordingly, [the documents] are designated 'Confidential.'" Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of my March 28, 2013 cover letter to the Tolkien/HC Parties.

- 5. Warner's initial production included a copy of a May 2, 2011 settlement agreement between Warner Bros. Home Entertainment Inc. and Microgaming Software Systems Ltd. (the "Microgaming Settlement Agreement"). The Microgaming Settlement Agreement was designated and stamped confidential.
- 6. On April 29, 2013, the Tolkien/HC Parties electronically filed their Reply in Support of Special Motion to Strike the Warner Parties' First Amended Counterclaim Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16 (the "Reply"). In support of the Reply, the Tolkien/HC Parties filed the Supplemental Declaration of Ricardo P. Cestero, to which they attached as Exhibit 1 a copy of the Microgaming Settlement Agreement ("Exhibit 1").
- 7. The Tolkien/HC Parties did not provide Warner with any advance notice that they intended to file the Microgaming Settlement Agreement.
- 8. Immediately upon receiving electronic notice of the Tolkien/HC Parties' filing on April 29, 2013, and upon my discovery that the Tolkien/HC Parties had publicly filed the Microgaming Settlement Agreement, I contacted Mr. Cestero. In this conversation, Mr. Cestero confirmed that he had intentionally filed the Microgaming Settlement Agreement publicly notwithstanding its confidentiality designation. During a subsequent conversation on this same day, Mr. Cestero apologized for failing to give Warner advance notice of the filing and agreed to request that the Court deactivate the PACER link to Exhibit 1. Mr. Cestero further agreed to deliver the Chambers courtesy copies in a sealed envelope.
- 9. Mr. Cestero, however, refused to withdraw Exhibit 1 from the public record or move to have it filed under Seal. Mr. Cestero justified his refusal by stating that the Tolkien/HC Parties did not believe Exhibit 1 needed to be filed under seal because certain financial information had been redacted. Mr. Cestero also incorrectly asserted that the proposed protective order did not require the receiving party, here the Tolkien/HC Parties, to file confidential documents under

seal but rather included a notice provision whereby the producing party, here Warner, had the burden of moving for permission to file under seal.

- In light of the Tolkien/HC Parties' position, I informed Mr. Cestero of 10. Warner's intention to file an *ex parte* application to seal Exhibit 1 and explained that Warner reserved the right to seek appropriate sanctions in connection with any such application, including our attorneys' fees in preparing and filing the *ex parte* application.
- 11. Mr. Cestero confirmed that he would not oppose our *ex parte* application. The following day, on April 30, 2013, counsel for The Saul Zaentz Company ("Zaentz") confirmed that they would similarly not oppose Warner's ex parte application
- 12. On April 29, 2013, shortly after our initial call, Mr. Cestero and I jointly called the help line for the court's electronic filing system ("ECF") to request that the link to Exhibit 1 on PACER be deactivated. The ECF clerk informed us that she would email this Court's deputy courtroom clerk, Angela Bridges to notify her of the issue.
- Pursuant to the ECF clerk's instructions, Mr. Cestero and I then called 13. Ms. Bridges. After reaching Ms. Bridge's voicemail, we left her a voicemail detailing the situation. On the morning of April 30, 2013, Ms. Bridges returned my call and informed me that the Court would deactivate the PACER link to Exhibit 1. Ms. Bridges confirmed that Warner should file an *ex parte* application and [proposed] order to support the deactivation of the PACER link.

23

25

26

27

14. On April 30, 2013, Warner and Zaentz provided the Tolkien/HC Parties with their proposed revisions to the protective order that Mr. Cestero previously circulated. Warner hopes that the stipulated protective order will be presented to the Court shortly for its approval so that Warner can continue to produce documents with the assurance that its confidential information will be protected.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May 1, 2013, at Los Angeles, California.

Molly M. Lens

## **EXHIBIT A**

### Primack, Nikolas A.

From: Cestero, Ricardo < rcestero@greenbergglusker.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, March 14, 2013 10:43 AM

**To:** John.Ulin@APORTER.COM; Marty.Glick@aporter.com; Lens, Molly; Petrocelli, Daniel;

Jih, Victor

**Cc:** beskenazi@greenbergglusker.com; EMoriarty@greenbergglusker.com;

rvaladez@greenbergglusker.com

**Subject:** Fourth Age v. Warner Bros.

**Attachments:** GGDOCS1-#1674439-v1-Stipulated\_Protective\_Order.PDF

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Counsel-

Attached is the protective order that was used in the LOTR accounting case. Obviously, this was previously agreed to by the Plaintiffs and the Warner side. Plaintiffs would be agreeable to having a similar order entered in this case.

Best regards, Ricardo

Ricardo P. Cestero | Attorney at Law | Biography

D: 310.785.6809 | F: 310.201.2309 | RCestero@greenbergglusker.com

Greenberg Glusker Fields Claman & Machtinger LLP

1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067

O: 310.553.3610 | GreenbergGlusker.com

#### IRS Circular 230 Disclosure:

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

This message is intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) and is intended to be privileged and confidential within the attorney client privilege. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender at Greenberg Glusker and delete all copies of this email message along with all attachments. Thank you.

COPY

3

7

8

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

### ORIGINAL FILED

DEC 112008

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

### RECEIVED

DEC 18 2008

GGFGM

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CHRISTOPHER REUEL TOLKIEN, PRISCILLA MARY ANNE REUEL TOLKIEN, JOAN ANNE REUEL TOLKIEN, and BAILLIE JEAN TOLKIEN, as TRUSTEES OF THE TOLKIEN TRUST; a United Kingdom Charitable Trust; CHRISTOPHER REUEL TOLKIEN, PRISCILLA MARY ANNE REUEL TOLKIEN, MICHAEL GEORGE REUEL TOLKIEN, BAILLIE JEAN TOLKIEN, ALAN GRAHAM POULTER, and SIMON MARIO REUEL TOLKIEN, as TRUSTEES OF THE JRR **TOLKIEN 1967 DISCRETIONARY** SETTLEMENT, a United Kingdom Trust; HARPERCOLLINS PUBLISHERS, LTD.. a United Kingdom corporation; UNWIN HYMAN LTD., a United Kingdom corporation; and GEORGE ALLEN & UNWIN (PUBLISHERS) LTD., a United Kingdom corporation,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

NEW LINE CINEMA CORP., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 to 50, inclusive,

Desendants.

CASE NO. BC 385294

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION; [PROPOSED] ORDER

Judge: Department:

Hon. Ann I. Jones

40

Date action filed: Trial date: Feb. 11, 2008 October 19, 2009

. .

6562265.1

WHEREAS Plaintiffs Christopher Reuel Tolkien, Priscilla Mary Anne Reuel Tolkien. Joan Anne Reuel Tolkien, and Baillie Jean Tolkien, as Trustees of The Tolkien Trust; Christopher Reuel Tolkien, Priscilla Mary Anne Reuel Tolkien, Michael George Reuel Tolkien, Baillie Jean Tolkien, Alan Graham Poulter. and Simon Mario Reuel Tolkien, as Trustees of The JRR Tolkien 1967 Discretionary Settlement; HarperCollins Publishers, Ltd.; Unwin Hyman Ltd.; and George Allen & Unwin (Publishers) Ltd. ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant New Line Cinema Corp. ("Defendant" or "New Line"; collectively, the "Parties") to the above-captioned action contemplate that certain Confidential Information (as defined below) may be exchanged between them or produced by third parties during the course of discovery, and/or in connection with any audit(s) (the "Audit") conducted by Plaintiff's relating to the films entitled "The Lord of the Rings: The Fellowship of the Ring," "The Lord of the Rings: The Two Towers" and "The Lord of the Rings: The Return of the King" (the "Films");

WHEREAS the parties desire such information to retain its confidential status notwithstanding the pendency of this lawsuit;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND AMONG THE PARTIES HERETO AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD THAT the following order should be entered by the Court:

### I. SCOPE

This order shall apply to and govern documents, information, and other matter produced or furnished during the course of discovery in the above-captioned proceedings pursuant to the Code of Civil Procedure, the California Rules of Court ("CRC"), the Local Rules of the above-entitled Court ("Local Rules"), in connection with an Audit, or otherwise, to the extent such materials are designated as constituting or containing Confidential Information pursuant to Section III of this order. This order does not affect the enforceability of any existing confidentiality agreements or protective orders governing documents, information or other matter produced in connection with this Action or an Audit, including, but not limited to, confidentiality

6562265.1

l

24.

-1-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

agreements, protective orders or restrictions on the dissemination of materials collected or created in the course of other audits or other litigation. II. DEFINITIONS "Action" shall refer to the above-entitled proceedings in the Superior Court Α. of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles. "Designating Party" shall mean a party or third party that designates B. information confidential pursuant to Section III below. "Furnishing Party" shall mean a party to the Action, or a third party subject C. to subpoena, on behalf of which documents, things, or information are furnished or produced in connection with the Action or the Audit. "Receiving Party" shall mean a party to the Action to which documents, D. things, or information are furnished or produced in connection with the Action or the Audit. "Confidential Information" shall mean confidential or proprietary business, E. ' personal, or technical information that is not generally known and that the Designating Party would not normally reveal to third parties, or would cause or require third parties to maintain in confidence, that is designated with a legend set forth in section III hereof. "Counsel" shall mean counsel of record for a party to this action, in-house F. or other corporate counsel working on the Action or the Audit, and secretarial, clerical, and 18 paralegal personnel assisting such counsel. "Counsel" shall not include persons engaged or 19 retained by or on behalf of any party as an Expert Consultant or Auditor. 20 "Expert Consultant" shall mean any person other than Counsel who is G. 21 retained or sought to be retained by or on behalf of a party to the Action to advise and assist in the 22. preparation and presentation of the party's case. For purposes of this order, Expert Consultants 23 shall include individuals retained as experts, whether or not designated to testify at trial. 24 "Auditor" shall mean any person other than Counsel who is retained or H. 25 sought to be retained by or on behalf of a party to the Action to conduct or assist with the Audit. 26 27 28 -2n562265 1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

### III. DESIGNATION OF INFORMATION

A. Documents, information, and other matter produced or furnished during the course of the Action, including, without limitation, documents, information and matter produced in response to requests for production of documents, to interrogatories, to requests for admissions, to subpoenas, or to requests made in connection with the Audit, or during depositions, may be designated as constituting or containing Confidential Information, prior to producing or furnishing the documents or things, by placing on each page and each thing to which the designation applies a legend stating "CONFIDENTIAL," "CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER." The Designating Party shall make this designation based on its good faith determination that such designation applies.

- B. The parties agree that all internal financial or accounting records of Defendant or Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc. which are produced in connection with the Action or the Audit constitute Confidential Information and are provisionally designated as such. Such internal financial or accounting records shall include, but are not limited to: participation statements rendered to any participant in the Films; information contained in or reflected by such participation statements, documents created or exchanged in connection with any audit conducted with regards to the Films, including participants' audits and Defendants' audits of third parties; general ledger statements or any portion thereof, whether produced in paper form, database form, or otherwise; revenues generated by exploiting and distributing the Films in any medium by any person anywhere in the world; and costs associated with exploiting and distributing the Films in any medium by any person anywhere in the world. Pursuant to section VII below, any inadvertent failure of Defendant to mark such materials as constituting or containing Confidential Information shall not constitute a waiver of its claim to confidentiality.
- C. If a third party produces documents or information of any other kind pursuant to a subpoena or otherwise, all such documents shall be provisionally designated as Confidential Information subject to the provisions of Section IV.B ("Confidential"), until fifteen 3 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

otherwise agree in writing. If a party to the Action believes that any documents or information produced by a third party constitute or contain Confidential Information of the party or of its past or present affiliates, employees, or customers, the party to the Action may designate it as constituting or containing Confidential Information within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the information by notifying the other parties of the documents or information it deems to constitute or contain Confidential Information, and re-producing the pages constituting or containing Confidential Information with one of the legends set forth in paragraph III.A. Thereafter, the documents or information will no longer be deemed Confidential Information for purposes of this Protective Order, except to the extent so designated within such fifteen-day period.

For information presented orally at deposition, a confidentiality designation D. may be made on the record through a request that specific information provided in response to questions be designated by the deposition reporter as "Confidential - Subject to Protective Order" or "Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only - Subject to Protective Order"; such a designation made on the record shall be effective immediately. Additionally, a party or third party may designate deposition information as "Confidential - Subject to Protective Order" or "Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only - Subject to Protective Order" by giving written notice (via e-mail, letter or otherwise) transmitted to all Parties and to the court reporter within thirty (30) days after the court reporter delivers the final deposition transcript (i.e., not the rough transcript often provided within a day or so of the deposition) to the parties (or within such other time as the parties may agree). Those portions of the transcript of a deposition session for which no designation was made on the record shall be provisionally designated as "Confidential -Subject to Protective Order" and subject to the provisions of Section IV.B for thirty (30) days after the court reporter delivers the final deposition transcript to the Parties, unless the parties otherwise agree in writing. Thereafter, the transcript will no longer be deemed Confidential Information for purposes of this Protective Order, except to the extent so designated at the deposition or in a written notice, by letter, e-mail, or otherwise, transmitted to all Parties and the court reporter within such 30-day period.

65622651 -4-

#### IV. DISCLOSURE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION Confidential Information shall be used solely for the purpose of conducting 2 this Action or for conducting an Audit and for no other purpose. 3 Confidential Information designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" may be B. 4 disclosed to Counsel for the Receiving Party, and may be disclosed by Counsel for the Receiving 5 Party to the following additional persons only: 6 The Court, the jury, members of the staff of the Court and other persons (1) 7 present during trial whose functions reasonably necessitate access to 8 Confidential Information, subject to the procedures set forth in paragraphs 9 V.A through D, inclusive, hereof; 10 Qualified court reporters taking testimony in the above-captioned (2) 11 proceedings, and necessary stenographic and clerical personnel thereof; 12 Percipient witnesses at deposition, or in preparation for deposition (3) 13 testimony or trial, provided that such witnesses are not permitted to retain 14 the Confidential Information following the preparation session or 15 deposition; 16 Expert Consultants of the Receiving Party; (4) 17 Auditors of the Receiving Party, but only in accordance with the (5) 18 procedures of Section VI below; 19 Non-technical jury or trial consulting services retained by counsel for a (6) 20 party; and 21 Parties and employees, former employees, agents, representatives or (7)22 consultants of the parties whose assistance counsel requests for purposes of 23 this litigation. 24 Confidential Information designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -C. 25 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" may be disclosed to Counsel for the Receiving Party, and may be 26 disclosed by Counsel for the Receiving Party to the following additional persons only: 27 28 - 5 -6562265 1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

The Court, the jury, members of the staff of the Court and other persons (1) I present during trial whose functions reasonably necessitate access to 2 Confidential Information, subject to the procedures set forth in paragraphs 3 V.A through D, inclusive, hereof; 4 Qualified court reporters taking testimony in the above-captioned (2) 5 proceedings, and necessary stenographic and clerical personnel thereof; 6 Percipient witnesses at deposition, or in preparation for deposition (3) 7 testimony or trial, provided that such witnesses are not permitted to retain 8 the Confidential Information following the preparation session or 9 deposition: 10 Expert Consultants of the Receiving Party; (4) 11 Auditors of the Receiving Party, but only in accordance with the (5) 12 procedures of Section VI below; and 13 Non-technical jury or trial consulting services retained by counsel for a (6)14 party. 15 Confidential Information may be disclosed to those persons identified in D. 16 paragraphs B (4) through B (6) and C (4) through C (6) above, provided that, prior to the 17 disclosure of any Confidential Information to such persons: (a) the person shall have been 18 informed of the confidential nature of all Confidential Information and the need to limit its use 19 strictly to the purposes permitted herein, and shall agree to be bound by such restrictions, and (b) 20 execute and deliver to the party providing such Confidential Information (who shall retain the 21 executed original in perpetuity and promptly provide an executed copy to the opposing party 22 upon request) the written "Non-Disclosure Agreement" in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A. 23 V. USE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION 24 In the event that any brief, memorandum, or other paper to be submitted to 25 the Court by or on behalf of a Receiving Party contains Confidential Information of another party 26 or third party, the Receiving Party shall file the Confidential Information provisionally under scal 27 pursuant to the provisions of CRC 2.550 and 2.551 and any applicable Local Rules of the Court. 28 -6-6562265 1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

2

3

4

5

6

which another participant involved in the Films (including, without limitation, another person or entity contractually entitled to receive a participation on any of the Films) may not because of: (a) a difference in the scope of the other participant's audit rights; (b) a difference in the other participant's participation; or (c) the expiration of the other participant's audit rights. Auditor and Plaintiffs agree that the Confidential Information will not be used by Auditor for any purpose other than: (a) conducting the Audit on behalf of Plaintiffs; (b) preparing a written report for submission to the parties containing the results of the Audit; (c) assisting with the Action or otherwise resolving the claims raised in the Action.

Confidential Information to Auditor's agents or employees, or any agent, manager, attorney or other representative of Plaintiffs who is not otherwise bound by this Protective Order and is directly involved in representing the party in connection with this Action or Audit (a "Permitted Recipient"); provided, that, prior to the disclosure of any Confidential Information to a Permitted Recipient: (a) such Permitted Recipient shall have been informed of the confidential nature of all Confidential Information and the need to limit its use strictly to the purposes permitted herein, and shall agree to be bound by such restrictions, and (b) execute and deliver to Plaintiffs (who shall retain the executed original in perpetuity and promptly provide an executed copy to the opposing party) the written "Non-Disclosure Agreement" in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

Auditor has disclosed Confidential Information produced by Defendant or a third party violates the terms of this Agreement by reason of a disclosure or use of Confidential Information in a manner not expressly permitted hereunder ("Non-Permitted Information"), New Line shall have the right to: (a) require the Auditor to destroy (and promptly confirm such destruction in writing) his or her self-created work-papers and any annotated copies of Defendant- or third party-supplied material that include any references to, or are the product of, any information learned through the improper disclosure of Non-Permitted Information; (b) be informed of the identity of the person(s) to whom Non-Permitted Information was disclosed and what Non-Permitted

Information was disclosed; and (c) to apply to the Court to obtain injunctive relief against the Auditor, and in the event New Line does so, no person subject to the provisions of this Order shall assert as a defense thereto that New Line possesses an adequate remedy at law.

l

26.

## VII. INADVERTENT FAILURE TO DESIGNATE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

- A. Inadvertent failure to designate documents or other information as

  Confidential Information at the time of production may be remedied by supplemental written

  notice. If such notice is given, the identified materials shall thereafter be fully subject to this

  Protective Order. A Furnishing Party's inadvertent or unintentional disclosure of Confidential

  Information, without the confidentiality designation, shall not be deemed a waiver in whole or in

  part of the Furnishing Party's claim of confidentiality, either as to the specific information

  disclosed or as to any other information relating thereto, on the same or related subject matter.
- B. Any such inadvertently or unintentionally disclosed Confidential Information shall be designated as soon as reasonably possible after the Furnishing Party becomes aware of the inadvertent or unintentional disclosure. Counsel for the Furnishing Party with assistance of the Receiving Parties shall thereafter:
  - (1) Use reasonable efforts to retrieve all such particular documents, things or information and all copies thereof from any persons not authorized by this Order to receive such materials;
  - (2) Mark the particular documents, things, or information, and all copies thereof, with the appropriate legend as set forth in Section III; and
  - (3) Treat the document, thing or information, all copies thereof, and any notes or other documents incorporating such information in accordance with the designation.

## VIII. CHALLENGES TO CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATION

A. If a Receiving Party disputes a designation of information as constituting or containing Confidential Information or disputes the level of protection designated for the information, the Receiving Party may at any time notify the Designating Party in writing of the particular designation that is disputed and the basis for disputing the designation. Such notice

- 9 -

shall be delivered in a separate writing so as to notify the Designating Party of the documents so challenged, and shall set forth with particularity the grounds for the challenge to each document or category of documents.

- B. In the event written notice of an objection to the designation of information as constituting or containing Confidential Information is served pursuant to the preceding paragraph, the disputants shall first attempt to resolve such dispute in good faith on an informal basis. The Designating Party shall, within forty-five (45) days after such written notice was provided or such other time to which the disputing parties may agree: (1) re-produce any documents as required to reflect a changed designation agreed upon by the parties; and/or (2) as to those documents for which the dispute cannot be resolved, either (a) produce the documents as demanded in the Receiving Party's notice of objection, or (b) file a motion with the Court seeking a determination that the information was properly designated. The Designating Party shall carry the burden of persuasion on such a motion to establish that the information was properly designated. Prior to the determination of such motion, the Parties shall treat the disputed information as though it were properly designated.
- C. Any party required to move this court for relief under the provisions of VIII.B as a result of written objections asserted by a Receiving Party prior to the entry of this Order shall have forty-five (45) days from the entry of this Order to bring its motion.

### IX. INADVERTENT FAILURE TO DESIGNATE PRIVILEGED INFORMATION

The inadvertent disclosure of information or documents that a Furnishing Party believes constitute, contain or reflect information otherwise protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine or any other privilege or immunity from discovery ("Privileged Information"), shall not constitute a waiver or estoppel with respect to such Privileged Information, or generally of any such privilege or immunity or other ground for withholding production to which the Furnishing Party or any other person would otherwise be entitled. In the event of such inadvertent disclosure of Privileged Information, a Furnishing Party at any time may provide notice to the Receiving Party directing that all copies of documents containing such inadvertently disclosed Privileged Information be returned to the Furnishing 6562265 1 - 10 -

Party or destroyed and barring any Party from using or retaining those documents or any copies thereof in the action or otherwise. All parties receiving such notice shall immediately return all copies of the Privileged Documents described in the notice, shall delete such material from any litigation-support or other database, shall destroy all notes or other work product reflecting the contents of such material and shall not use such Privileged Documents; provided, however, that any party receiving such notice, after returning the Privileged Documents, may move within thirty (30) days after receiving such notice and on reasonable notice, and on grounds other than the inadvertent production of such documents, for an order challenging the designation of such documents as Privileged Documents. If and only if, the party receiving notice of inadvertent disclosure of Privileged Documents elects to move for such an order, that party shall be permitted to keep only one copy of the Privileged Document for the sole purpose of filing such copy with the Court under seal when making its motion. The Parties agree that permission to keep the one copy for the sole purpose of filing it with the Court under seal shall not be grounds for arguing that the document is not privileged or that any privilege was waived. The Parties agree that the terms in this section do not in any way limit or alter the Parties' existing obligations under California law.

### X. CONCLUSION OF LITIGATION

A. No later than three (3) months after the final termination of the Action, including the exhaustion of any appeals and cross-appeals and requests for discretionary review, each person or party subject to the terms of this Protective Order shall either (1) return all Confidential Information produced by other parties to each respective Furnishing Party (except to the extent such designated information is maintained on electronic media and cannot be returned, in which case such designated information shall be crased or otherwise destroyed); or (2) destroy all Confidential Information produced by other parties. Any such destruction of Confidential Information shall be confirmed in writing within such three-month period by the party destroying such documents. Nothing herein shall obligate any person or party to destroy (i) attorney work product, including, without limitation, attorney notes or memos and deposition summaries; (ii)

6562265 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 11 -

any transcript of any deposition, hearing, or trial proceeding; or (iii) any pleading or paper served on another party or filed with the Court in the Action.

- Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Receiving Party shall be permitted to В. designate, in writing and no later than one (1) month after the final termination of the Action, any Confidential Information it believes, in good faith, is necessary for its counsel to maintain after the termination of the litigation for purposes of reference and use in the event of further disputes or litigation between the parties regarding Plaintiffs' participation interests in revenues generated by the Films. The Furnishing Party shall consider in good faith any such designations and if the Furnishing Party assents, counsel for Receiving Party may keep one (1) copy of any such designated Confidential Information, subject to the on-going protections of this Protective Order. If any disputes arise out of such designations, the disputants shall attempt to resolve such disputes in good faith on an informal basis. If a dispute cannot be so resolved, the Furnishing Party may, within forty-five (45) days after such written designation was provided or such other time to which the disputing parties may agree, file a motion with this Court seeking an order requiring counsel for the Receiving Party to return all copies of the Confidential Information in question. Prior to the determination of such motion, the Receiving Party may keep one (1) copy of the Confidential Information it has designated to keep, but shall return or destroy any other Confidential Information pursuant to the terms set forth in Section X.A., above.
- C. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Action following its termination (whether by judgment, settlement, or otherwise) for the purpose of enforcing this Protective Order.

### XI. AMENDMENTS OR MODIFICATIONS

A. This Protective Order may be amended by agreement of counsel for the Parties to this Order and approval of the Court in the form of a stipulation that shall be filed with the Court. Any party may, on motion and for good cause shown, apply to the Court for modification of this Protective Order.

27 28

I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

6562265 1

- 12 -

12-11-08	11:2	27am From-LOEB & LOEB	310 2822200 T-157 P.015/016 F-361	
, , , , , ,				
	1		and Protective Order shall become effective immediately	
	2   1	ipon its entry by the Court. The partie	s agree that, pending the entry of this Order by the Court,	
	any production of documents or information will be subject to the terms of this Protective			
,	4	C. Nothing in this Stipulati	ion and Protective Order precludes the entry of additional	
	5 1	protective orders in the Action, if such	additional protective orders are appropriate.	
	6	x	I. MISCELLANEOUS	
	7	A. Nothing herein limits th	ne ability of a party or third party to use or to disclose its	
	8	own Confidential Information.		
	9	1/ 0000	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
	10	DATED: December _//_, 2008	Monder, Toesday & Constitution of the Constitu	
	11		By: June J. Demsky	
	12		Attorneys for Defendant New Line Cinema Corp.	
	13	DATED: December 11, 2008	GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN & MACHTINGER LLP	
	14			
	15		By: Bonnie E. Eskenazi	
•	17		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Trustees of the Tolkion Trust	
	18		and Trustees of The J.R.R. Tolkien 1967 Discretionary Settlement	
	19	DATED: December // 2008	LOEB & LOEB LLP	
	20		By: M. Anderson	
	21		Michael Anderson	
	22		Attorneys for Plaintiffs HarperCollins Publishers, Ltd., Unwin Hyman Ltd., and George Allen &	
	23		Unwin (Publishers) Ltd.	
	24			
	25	IT IS SO ORDERED:	ANN I. JONES	
	26	DATED: 12/12/08	Bv.	
	27		The Honorable Ann I Jones Los Angeles County Superior Court Judge	
	28	6562263.1	- 13 -	
		<b>]</b>	PULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	

RECEIVED TIME DEC. 11. 11:18AM

	EXHIBIT "A"
	NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT
	NON-DISCLOSURE ANGLES
	I certify that I have carefully read the Stipulated Confidentiality Protective Order
-	in the case of Tolkien et al. v. New Line Cinema Corp. et al, Case No. BC 385294, and that I fully
	understand the terms of the Order. I recognize that I am bound by the terms of this order and I
5	agree to comply with those terms. I hereby consent to the personal jurisdiction of the Los
7	Angeles County Superior Court for any proceedings involving the enforcement of that Order.
8	Executed this day of, 200_, at,
0	
1	
2	
3	Signature
4	
5	Name
6	
7	Affiliation or Company
8	
19	
20	
21	Business Address
22	
23	
24 -	
25	Home Address
26	
27	
28	(5/27/51
	NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER IN TOLKIEN V. NEW LINE

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within cause. I am employed by Time 2 Machine Network, Inc. in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address 3 is 1533 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90017. 4 On December 11, 2008, I served a true copy of the following documents entitled: 5 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING CONFIDENTIAL 6 INFORMATION; [PROPOSED] ORDER 7 By placing □ the original(s) ■ a true and correct copy(ies) thereof, as set out below, in an addressed, sealed envelope(s) clearly labeled to identify the person(s) being served at the 8 address(es) set forth on the attached service list. 0 BY MAIL (AS INDICATED ON THE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST) I placed such envelope(s) in interoffice mail for collection and deposit in the United States Postal 10 Service at 355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floor, Los Angeles, California, on that same date, following ordinary business practices. I am familiar with Munger, Tolles & 11 Olson LLP's practice for collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; in the ordinary course of business, correspondence placed in 12 interoffice mail is deposited with the United States Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid on the same day it is placed for collection and mailing. 13 BY FEDERAL EXPRESS STANDARD OVERNIGHT DELIVERY (AS 14 INDICATED ON ATTACHED SERVICE LIST) I delivered the sealed Federal Express envelope(s) to an employee authorized by Federal Express to receive documents, with 15 delivery fees paid or provided for. 16 BY FACSIMILE (AS INDICATED ON ATTACHED SERVICE LIST) I caused to be sent a true and correct copy(ies) of said document via facsimile transmission. The 17 transmission was reported as complete and without error. A true and correct copy of the machine's transmission report, indicating the date and time that the transmission was 18 completed without error is attached to this proof of service and is incorporated herein by this reference. The telephone number of the facsimile machine I used was (213) 683-19 9510. This facsimile machine complies with Rule 2003(3) of the California Rules of 20 Court. BY HAND (AS INDICATED ON ATTACHED SERVICE LIST) I caused such 21 envelope(s) to be delivered by hand to the offices of the addressee. 22 (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. X 23 (FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this 24 court at whose direction the service was made. 25 26 27 28 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Į		
	cula har of this court at whose	
1	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose	
2	direction the service was made.	
3	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on	
4	December 11, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.	
5		
6		
7	Ina han Godona millem ha	
8	[Signature]	
9	TIME MACHINE NETWORK, INC.	
10	``j	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
. , 17		
18		
19		
20		
2	1	
2:	2	
2	3	
2	24	
2	25	
2	26	
:	27	
	28	
	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	
	SINGENIE	

1 SERVICE LIST 2 Robert A. Meyer Bonnie E. Eskenazi Michael T. Anderson 3 Elisabeth A. Moriarty Donald A. Miller Ricardo P. Cestero Loeb & Loeb LLP 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 2200 4 Rachel Valadez Greenberg Glusker Fields Claman & Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: 310-282-2000 5 Machtinger LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor Fax: 310-282-2200 Los Angeles, CA 90067-4590 6 rmeyer@loeb.com Tel: 310-553-3610 manderson@loeb.com 7 Fax: 310-553-0687 dmiller@loeb.com beskenazi@ggfirm.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs 8 emoriarty@ggfirm.com rcestero@ggfirm.com 9 rvaladez@ggfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiffs 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

- 1		·			
1	PROOF OF SERVICE				
2	I, the undersigned, declare: that I am employed in the aforesaid County; I am over the age				
3	of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 355 South Grand Avenue,				
4	Thirty-Fifth Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071-1560.				
5	On December 16, 2008, I served upon the interested party(ies) in this action the foregoing				
6	document(s) described as: STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING				
7	CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION; ORDER				
8	X	By placing $\square$ the original $\boxtimes$ a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as stated on the attached service list.			
9 10 11 12 13	×	BY MAIL (AS INDICATED ON THE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST) I caused such envelope(s) to be deposited with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States mail at a facility regularly maintained by the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. Under the practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation dated or postage meter date is more than one day after dated of deposit for mailing, pursuant to this affidavit.			
14 15		BY FACSIMILE (AS INDICATED ON ATTACHED SERVICE LIST) By sending a copy of said document by facsimile machine for instantaneous transmittal via telephone line.			
16 17	×	BY ELECTRONIC MAIL (AS INDICATED ON ATTACHED SERVICE LIST) By sending a copy of said document by electronic mail for instantaneous transmittal via email.			
118   119   120   121   122   122   122   122   122   122   122   123   124   125   126   127	×	BY FEDERAL EXPRESS PRIORITY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY (AS INDICATED ON ATTACHED SERVICE LIST) I caused such envelope(s) to be placed for Federal Express collection and delivery at Los Angeles, California. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for Federal Express mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the Federal Express office on that same day with instructions for overnight delivery, fully prepaid, at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the Federal Express delivery date is more than one day after dated of deposit with the local Federal Express office, pursuant to this affidavit.  (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.  Executed on December 16, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.  Mary L. Pantoja			
28					
	5803952.1				
		STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER			

Case 2:12-cv-09912-ABC-SH Document 73-1 Filed 05/01/13 Page 27 of 30 Page ID

## **EXHIBIT B**

### O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

BRUSSELS HONG KONG

BEIJING

HONG KONG

JAKARTA†

LONDON

LOS ANGELES

LONDON LOS ANGELES NEWPORT BEACH NEW YORK 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067-6035

> FELEPHONE (310) 553-6700 FACSIMILE (310) 246-6779 www.omm.com

SAN FRANCISCO
SLOUL
SHANGHAI
SILICON VALLEY
SINGAPORE
FOKYO
WASHINGTON, D.C.

March 28, 2013

writer's direct divi. (310) 246-8593

WRITER'S EMAIL ADDRESS

mlens@omm.com

VIA U.S. MAIL

Ricardo Cestero Greenberg Glusker Fields Claman & Machtinger LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067

Re: Fourth Age Ltd., et al. v. Warner Bros. Digital Distribution Inc., et al.

Dear Ricardo:

Enclosed please find Warner's first production of documents. The bates range for this production is WARNER000001-WARNER0000357. Please note that all of these documents are being produced in reliance on the entry of a protective order in this case and, accordingly, are designated "Confidential." Warner reserves the right to re-designate these documents as appropriate once the protective order has been finalized and entered.

Sincerely,

Molly Lens

My M. 2

**MML** 

Enclosure

Ulir association with Tumbuan & Partners

